

EPA Official Record

Notes ID: 71011B6074BE9EBE852578690055FFD7

From: "Leitch, Robert A NAE" <Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil>

To: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; "Fredette, Thomas J NAE" <Thomas.J.Fredette@usace.army.mil>

Copy To: "Kammerer-cody, Denise E NAE" <Denise.E.Kammerer-Cody@usace.army.mil>; "Mitkevicius, K C NAE" <K.C.Mitkevicius@usace.army.mil>; "L'Heureux, Paul G NAE" <Paul.G.L'Heureux@usace.army.mil>; "England, Stephen M NAP" <Stephen.M.England@usace.army.mil>; "Leitch, Robert A NAE" <Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil>

Delivered Date: 04/14/2008 01:01 PM EDT

Subject: RE: Draft Scope

Tom:

The following are my comments:

Pg 1, para 1, last sentence - is there a word missing after the word "be"?

Pg 1 - Should we add that it could take up to 5 yrs to fill the Upper Harbor CAD Cell?

DAVE - DO YOU KNOW THE EXACT TITLE OF THIS FOSTER WHEELER DOCUMENT??

Pg 2 - Another report to add is the 2003 Foster Wheeler report which includes the volume, areas, sediments by management units

Tx

Bob

-----Original Message-----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, April 14, 2008 11:12 AM

To: Fredette, Thomas J NAE

Cc: Kammerer-cody, Denise E NAE; Mitkevicius, K C NAE; L'Heureux, Paul G NAE;

Leitch, Robert A NAE; England, Stephen M NAP

Subject: Re: Draft Scope

Tom - just a few quick comments:

1. The estimated volume of the "Alt. 1" upper harbor CAD cell is about 420,000 cy. We will have the option of increasing the size to about 470,000 cy if need be, but for the time being we should use 420,000 cy.

2. Background, 1st para.: can the figure be changed so that Rt. 6 and Marsh Island are labeled? This would enable ERDC to have an idea of where the lower harbor CAD cell will be (Rt. 6 is the bridge over to Popes

Island; Marsh island is the peninsula just south of 195 on the Fairhaven side with the two radio towers - although 195 is not shown!)

3. Another report to list is the summary of the Battelle hydrodynamic and contaminant transport model (available at www.epa.gov/ne/nbh under "technical documents").

Thanks!

Dave

"Fredette,
Thomas J NAE"
<Thomas.J.Fredette@usace.army.mil> To
"Leitch, Robert A NAE"
<Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil>,
"England, Stephen M NAP"
04/11/2008 11:41 AM <Stephen.M.England@usace.army.mil>
>, "L'Heureux, Paul G NAE"
<Paul.G.L'Heureux@usace.army.mil>
, "Mitkevicius, K C NAE"
<K.C.Mitkevicius@usace.army.mil>,
"Kammerer-cody, Denise E NAE"
<Denise.E.Kammerer-Cody@usace.army.mil>, Dave
Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
cc

Subject
Draft Scope

Building on Dave's original scope, here are my suggestions for the first part of the work we would request from ERDC. I have not copied Carlos or Paul yet, just so that we can make sure this reflects our collective thoughts first.

The list of reports needs to be finalized and I know that Dave had a volume correction for the CAD.

Please provide comments by COB 14 April. If that is not possible, please let me know.

Thanks,

Tom

(See attached file: ERDC CAD Contaminant Loss Modeling Scope.doc)